

# DEMONSTRATING MULTI-COLINEARITY WITH THE CONSUMPTION FUNCTION FOR THE U.S.

Here's an example of multi-collinearity using the data from assignment 3 which also appeared on the second exam.

```
. use assg3
```

```
. summarize
```

| Variable | Obs | Mean     | Std. Dev. | Min      | Max      |
|----------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| num      | 20  | 11.5     | 5.91608   | 2        | 21       |
| year     | 20  | 1977.5   | 5.91608   | 1968     | 1987     |
| gnp      | 20  | 2347.765 | 1188.909  | 892.7    | 4486.2   |
| cnsmtpt  | 20  | 1506.075 | 792.4492  | 552.5    | 2966     |
| invest   | 20  | 373.2    | 204.1194  | 15.2     | 716.4    |
| intrate  | 20  | 9.6775   | 3.546692  | 5.25     | 18.87    |
| deflator | 20  | 74.795   | 27.74539  | 39.3     | 118.9    |
| rgnp     | 20  | 29.81999 | 4.608627  | 22.71501 | 37.73087 |
| rcnsmtpt | 20  | 19.00449 | 3.291805  | 14.05852 | 24.94533 |
| rinvest  | 20  | 4.661046 | 1.32795   | .3707317 | 6.149861 |
| rintrate | 20  | .1370528 | .0411115  | .0691337 | .1994715 |
| d80      | 20  | .4       | .5026247  | 0        | 1        |
| d80gnp   | 20  | 1443     | 1851.497  | 0        | 4486.2   |
| d80intr  | 20  | 4.9155   | 6.584569  | 0        | 18.87    |
| d80invst | 20  | 229.795  | 296.4755  | 0        | 716.4    |
| d8rgnp   | 20  | 13.68699 | 17.26466  | 0        | 37.73087 |
| d8rintr  | 20  | .048513  | .0676758  | 0        | .1994715 |

Here's the basic regression relating consumption to gnp and interest rates

```
. regress cnsmtpt gnp intrate
```

| Source   | SS         | df | MS         | Number of obs = | 20       |
|----------|------------|----|------------|-----------------|----------|
| Model    | 11924985.5 | 2  | 5962492.77 | F( 2, 17) =     | 15470.24 |
| Residual | 6552.08842 | 17 | 385.416966 | Prob > F =      | 0.0000   |
| Total    | 11931537.6 | 19 | 627975.664 | R-square =      | 0.9995   |
|          |            |    |            | Adj R-square =  | 0.9994   |
|          |            |    |            | Root MSE =      | 19.632   |

| cnsmtpt | Coef.     | Std. Err. | t       | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|----------------------|
| gnp     | .6752645  | .0042736  | 158.008 | 0.000 | .6662479 .684281     |
| intrate | -6.621716 | 1.432583  | -4.622  | 0.000 | -9.644202 -3.599229  |
| _cons   | -15.20564 | 13.54168  | -1.123  | 0.277 | -43.77609 13.36481   |

Now we want to see whether there was a shift in the consumption function during the 1980s so we introduce a dummy variable d80=1 if year>1979, 0 otherwise.

```
. regress cnsmtpt gnp intrate d80
```

| Source | SS | df | MS | Number of obs = | 20       |
|--------|----|----|----|-----------------|----------|
|        |    |    |    | F( 3, 16) =     | 15491.70 |

|          |  |            |    |            |              |   |        |
|----------|--|------------|----|------------|--------------|---|--------|
| Model    |  | 11927431.4 | 3  | 3975810.45 | Prob > F     | = | 0.0000 |
| Residual |  | 4106.26104 | 16 | 256.641315 | R-square     | = | 0.9997 |
| -----+   |  |            |    |            |              |   |        |
| Total    |  | 11931537.6 | 19 | 627975.664 | Adj R-square | = | 0.9996 |
|          |  |            |    |            | Root MSE     | = | 16.02  |

| cnsmpt  | Coef.    | Std. Err. | t      | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |           |
|---------|----------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| gnp     | .6568772 | .006902   | 95.172 | 0.000 | .6422457             | .6715088  |
| intrate | -8.72962 | 1.353815  | -6.448 | 0.000 | -11.59958            | -5.859661 |
| d80     | 56.75664 | 18.38515  | 3.087  | 0.007 | 17.78188             | 95.73141  |
| _cons   | 25.6599  | 17.24354  | 1.488  | 0.156 | -10.89478            | 62.21458  |

No problems to this point. The coefficient for d80 is significantly different from zero so it looks like there was an upward shift in the consumption function in the 1980s. Now we want to see if the marginal propensity to consume was different in the 80s than it was previously so we form  $d80gnp = d80 * gnp$  and add it to the regression.

. regress cnsmt gnp intrate d80 d80gnp

|          |  |            |    |            |               |   |          |
|----------|--|------------|----|------------|---------------|---|----------|
| Source   |  | SS         | df | MS         | Number of obs | = | 20       |
| -----+   |  |            |    |            |               |   |          |
| Model    |  | 11927705.8 | 4  | 2981926.46 | F( 4, 15)     | = | 11673.12 |
| Residual |  | 3831.78654 | 15 | 255.452436 | Prob > F      | = | 0.0000   |
| -----+   |  |            |    |            |               |   |          |
| Total    |  | 11931537.6 | 19 | 627975.664 | R-square      | = | 0.9997   |
|          |  |            |    |            | Adj R-square  | = | 0.9996   |
|          |  |            |    |            | Root MSE      | = | 15.983   |

| cnsmpt  | Coef.     | Std. Err. | t      | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |           |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| gnp     | .6485717  | .010565   | 61.389 | 0.000 | .626053              | .6710903  |
| intrate | -6.99172  | 2.152975  | -3.247 | 0.005 | -11.58068            | -2.402762 |
| d80     | -13.35875 | 70.08501  | -0.191 | 0.851 | -162.7414            | 136.0239  |
| d80gnp  | .0221732  | .0213911  | 1.037  | 0.316 | -.0234208            | .0677673  |
| _cons   | 24.39106  | 17.24705  | 1.414  | 0.178 | -12.37015            | 61.15228  |

Now we have a problem. The coefficient on the new variable, d80gnp, is not significant and the d80 coefficient is now insignificant as well. Notice that  $R^2$  and Adj  $R^2$  did not change from the previous regression to this one. This is a problem of multi-collinearity. If we do an F test to test

$$H_0 = b_{c, d80, i, gnp, d80gnp} = b_{c, d80gnp, i, gnp, d80} = 0$$

by comparing this regression, as the unrestricted regression to the base regression, as the restricted regression, we get:

$$F_{szmple} = (.9997 - .9995) / (1 - .9997) * (20 - 5) / (5 - 3) = 5.0 \text{ which is } > F_{critical} = F_{2, 15} = 3.68$$

So we can clearly reject  $H_0$ .

Notice the steps necessary to establish the presence of the multi-collinearity problem.

1. The regression with one of the variables added (in this case d80) yields a significant coefficient.
2. We add another variable (in this case d80gnp) and the first variable becomes insignificant and the new variable is insignificant as well. Also  $R^2$  hardly increases at all when the second variable is added.

3. This step isn't really necessary but just as insurance we do an F test of the hypothesis that the two co-linear variables have coefficients that are jointly insignificantly different from zero and we reject this hypothesis.

The nature of the co-linearity in this case is complex. It involves not only the presence of d80 and d80gnp but also the inclusion of the interest rate variable. For example if we eliminate the interest rate variable and run the regression we get:

```
regress cnsmppt gnp d80 d80gnp
```

| Source   | SS         | df | MS         | Number of obs = 20 |           |  |
|----------|------------|----|------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| Model    | 11925011.8 | 3  | 3975003.94 | F( 3, 16)          | = 9745.94 |  |
| Residual | 6525.80171 | 16 | 407.862607 | Prob > F           | = 0.0000  |  |
|          |            |    |            | R-square           | = 0.9995  |  |
|          |            |    |            | Adj R-square       | = 0.9994  |  |
| Total    | 11931537.6 | 19 | 627975.664 | Root MSE           | = 20.196  |  |

  

| cnsmppt | Coef.     | Std. Err. | t      | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |           |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| gnp     | .6315815  | .0115979  | 54.457 | 0.000 | .6069951             | .6561678  |
| d80     | -203.2679 | 48.80912  | -4.165 | 0.001 | -306.7386            | -99.79718 |
| d80gnp  | .0762695  | .0169569  | 4.498  | 0.000 | .0403225             | .1122166  |
| _cons   | -5.479687 | 18.43501  | -0.297 | 0.770 | -44.56016            | 33.60079  |

Both coefficients for d80 and for d80gnp are now significant, but  $R^2$  is lower. Further if we delete d80 rather than the interest rate we get:

```
. regress cnsmppt gnp intrate d80gnp
```

| Source   | SS         | df | MS         | Number of obs = 20 |            |  |
|----------|------------|----|------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| Model    | 11927696.6 | 3  | 3975898.85 | F( 3, 16)          | = 16561.64 |  |
| Residual | 3841.06748 | 16 | 240.066717 | Prob > F           | = 0.0000   |  |
|          |            |    |            | R-square           | = 0.9997   |  |
|          |            |    |            | Adj R-square       | = 0.9996   |  |
| Total    | 11931537.6 | 19 | 627975.664 | Root MSE           | = 15.494   |  |

  

| cnsmppt | Coef.     | Std. Err. | t      | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |           |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| gnp     | .6497492  | .0083082  | 78.206 | 0.000 | .6321367             | .6673618  |
| intrate | -7.334137 | 1.150334  | -6.376 | 0.000 | -9.772736            | -4.895538 |
| d80gnp  | .018238   | .0054272  | 3.360  | 0.004 | .0067328             | .0297432  |
| _cons   | 25.27508  | 16.10374  | 1.570  | 0.136 | -8.863326            | 59.41349  |

Now d80gnp coefficient is significant. So the multi-collinearity involves the three variables, intrate, d80 and d80gnp. Entering any two of the three yields significant coefficients for them but if all three are entered then we get multi-collinearity.